

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/681,815 06/11/2001 David Lefkowith 200-1462 DBK 6881 28395 7590 06/06/2005 **EXAMINER BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C./FGTL** DURAN, ARTHUR D 1000 TOWN CENTER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 22ND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075-1238 3622

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/681,815	LEFKOWITH, DAVID
Examiner	Art Unit
Arthur Duran	3622



Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover	r sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 11 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CO	ONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day a this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (w (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF 	as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or
following time periods:	
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BO. 	om the mailing date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition ur	
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the correspondin CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for rep above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	g amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 ly originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 C of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS 	(37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the d (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/o	
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appearance. 	eal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding nu	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	·
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached	Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted the non-allowable claim(s). 	tted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be enhow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or any The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the	e date of filing a Notice of Anneal will not be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasonand was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appe entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> reject showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not ea	ctions under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	the claims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place.	
The Applicant has presented arguments after-Final. The arguments are rejection was made such that the combination of the prior art renders the	
the After-Final Amendment the Applicant presents arguments such as, "providing the customer with a customer identitication badge containing a	As such, Kanter does not teach the limitation of
Applicant acknowledges that, "Kanter discloses entering a participant's a	
in a magnetic strip or a plastic card and a procedure for becoming a participant Merriam-Webster dictionary at www.m-w.com defines 'badge' as '1: a de	
group." Hence, Kanter's encoded card with user specific information that	t identifies the user as a member and also contains
other information is prior art against the Applicant's badge with a plurality	y of customer information.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or F	
13. Other:	JUMS JEFFREY D. CARLSON
	JEFFREY D. CARLSON
	PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application No. Part of Paper No. 20050523